

Attorney's Docket No.:10559-357001/P10034

REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of the above-referenced application are respectfully requested.

New claims and claim amendments are presented herein to obviate the current rejection.

35 USC § 112

Claims 6 and 12 have been rejected under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph as not having an antecedent basis for the limitation "the second group identifier". However, it is respectfully submitted that both of these claims both introduce the term "a second group identifier" prior to referring to "the second group identifier". Therefore, there is clear antecedent basis for the identified limitation.

Concluding Comments

It is believed that all of the pending claims have been addressed in this paper. However, failure to address a specific rejection, issue or comment, does not signify agreement with or concession of that rejection, issue or comment. In addition, because the arguments made above are not intended to be exhaustive, there may be reasons for patentability of any or all pending claims (or other claims) that have not been expressed. Finally, nothing in this paper should be construed as an intent to concede any issue with regard to any claim, except as specifically stated in this paper, and the amendment of any claim does not necessarily signify concession of unpatentability of the claim prior to its amendment.

Applicant asks that all claims be allowed. Please apply \$790 for the Request for Continued Examination fee and a \$120

Attorney's Docket No.: 10559-357001/P10034

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 1/24/05



Scott C. Harris

Reg. No. 32,030

Attorney for Intel Corporation

Fish & Richardson P.C.
PTO Customer Number: 20985
4350 La Jolla Village Drive, Suite 500
San Diego, CA 92122
Telephone: (858) 678-5070
Facsimile: (858) 678-5099
10473105.doc